

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/049,623	02/22/2002	Yoichiro Tanaka	219861USOPCT	7766
	22850	7590 02/14/2006		EXAMINER	
	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			FITZGERALD, MARC C	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1615	<u> </u>

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/049,623	TANAKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marc C. Fitzgerald	1615		

	Marc C. Fitzgerald	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be obtained b	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☒ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bein appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a  NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, .	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 9 and 29-34. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8,23-28,35 and 36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attack	hed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	JYOTHS PRIMAR	No(s)  NA VENKAT Y EXAMINER OUP 1500	sat
	G, ic	- 1000 /OON	′

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: There is no support at page 16 of the instant specification for amendment which reads, "which are obtained by gelling an aqueous phase ingredient with a water-soluble gellant and mixing the aqueous gel cores with hydrophobic particles to coat the aqueous gel cores, and." The support in the working examples starting on page 15 expanding to page 16 is for a gellant selected from the group consisiting of agar, gelatin, carageenan, gellan gum, and magnesium sodium silicate acid anhydride. Thus the support at pages 15-16 is for one specific hydrophobic particle, which is for the species and not for the genus.